

# What are we trying to do? Cases for Global co-operation and action

- HTAP has established ***the importance of ICT*** so what is the policy response and what science is needed to further it?
  - HTAP reporting needs to make a ***clear case*** to show this!
  - LRTAP can set an example via Gothenburg: scenario analyses by HTAP of effects of emission reductions in N America and impacts on Europe etc
  - But needs wider global coverage, science and policy thinking move together? How?
  - Global policy already exists for POPs and (almost) Hg what science do we need here?

- ***Impact assessments*** potentially important in establishing the case for ICT/global action on air pollution and engaging the decision makers outside CLRTAP region – role for HTAP here or has it already done this sufficiently? It already has a global coverage through the science
- Co-benefits: science and economics have already established the importance of this- how to get it into the policy process? A new TF? Or a new role for HTAP? Again it has global science coverage-involve economists too?

- ***Capacity building*** at global level
  - What mechanisms? What funding?
  - Close links needed between the science and policy communities – needs to be issue driven? (Links with impact assessments above)
  - Role of GAP Forum important here
  - Supporting role of LRTAP (LRTAP has its own capacity building issues in EECCA/SEE!)
  - Involve other science organisations and networks?
  - Some clear definition of activities needed